### **Metaheuristic** CS454 AI-Based Software Engineering

Shin Yoo



Teacher: add numbers from 1 to 100!

Young Gauss: 1 + 2 + ... + 50 + 100 + 99 + ... + 51 = 101 + 101 + ... + 101= 101 \* 50 = 5050

Computer: Is it 782? Teacher: Nope. Computer: Is it 783? Teacher: Nope.

Computer: Is it 5050? Teacher: Yes (finally...)

Let's start with terms.

heuristic | hjv(ə) rıstık | adjective enabling a person to discover or learn something for themselves. a handson' or interactive heuristic approach to learning. • Computing proceeding to a solution by trial and error or by rules that are only loosely defined.

meta-|meta| (also met-before a vowel or h) combining form

- 1 denoting a change of position or condition: *metamorphosis*.
- 2 denoting position behind, after, or beyond: *metacarpus*.
- metonym.

4 Chemistry denoting substitution at two carbon atoms separated by one other in a benzene ring, e.g. in 1,3 positions: *metadichlorobenzene*. Compare with **ORTHO-** and **PARA-**<sup>1</sup> (**SENSE 2**). 5 Chemistry denoting a compound formed by dehydration: *metaphosphoric* 

acid.

3 denoting something of a higher or second-order kind: *metalanguage* 

### **Meta-heuristic**

- Strategies that guide the search of the acceptable solution
- Approximate and usually non-deterministic
- Not problem specific
- Smart trial and error



Let's play Super Mario Bros.

Classic Nintendo Games are (Computationally) Hard

Greg Aloupis<sup>\*</sup>

Erik D. Demaine<sup>†</sup> Alan Guo<sup>†‡</sup> Giovanni Viglietta<sup>§</sup>

February 10, 2015

### http://arxiv.org/pdf/1203.1895v3.pdf

### **Player A**

- Read the game manual to see which button does what.
- Google the level map and get familiar with it.
- Carefully, very carefully, plan when to press each button, for how long.
- Grab the controller and execute the plan.



### **Player B**

- Grab the controller.
- Play.
- Die.
- Repeat until level is cleared.

Intelligence lies in how differently you die next time.



### Mario analogy goes a long way

- You make small changes to your last attempt ("okay, I will press A slightly later this time").
- You combine different bits of solutions ("Okay, jump over here, but then later do not jump over there").
- You accidentally discover new parts of the map ("Oops, how did I find this secret passage?")





Place 8 queens on a chessboard so that no pair attacks each other.







Perfect solution: score 0





Two attacks: score -2











Three attacks: score -3



### **Two Approaches**

Build an algorithm that produces a solution to the problem by placing one piece at a time





Build an algorithm that compares two solutions to the problem; try different solutions, keep the better one, until you solve the problem

# Does it scale?

### 44 Queens Problem



Place 44 Queens on the board with no attack.

### **10<sup>12</sup> Queens Problem**

Place 10<sup>12</sup> Queens on the board with no attack.



### **Trial and Error...** ...as a general perspective on how to make machines smart

- Abundance of computational resources means many domains are adopting (knowingly or not) a similar approach.
  - Corpus-based NLP
  - Go (the only competitive AI players are based on Monte-Carlo Method)
  - Many application of machine learning

Candidate Solution







## **Key Ingredients of Metaheuristic Approaches**

- What are we going to try this time? (representation)
- How is it **different** from what we tried before? (operators)
- **How well** did we do this time? (fitness/objective function)
- Minor (but critical) ingredients: constraints



### 8 Queens Problem

- Representation: 8 by 8 matrix
- Operators: generate one valid board position from the current position, following the rule about Queen's movement
- Fitness function: number of attacks (to be minimised)



### Super Mario Bros.

- Representation: a list of (button, start\_time, end\_time)
- Operators: change button type in one tuple, increase/decrease start\_time or end\_time
- Fitness function: the distance you travelled without dying



### **Universal Viewpoint**

- individual algorithms in detail.
- algorithms.
- platform to understand different classes of algorithms.
- We will revisit individual algorithms, using this tuples.

There are many algorithms in computational intelligence; you do need to learn

• However, I also want to communicate a frame of thinking, not only individual

• The tuple of (representation, operators, fitness function) can be a universal

### **Design dictates solution**

- Incorrect representation: what happens if we use (button, pressed\_time) instead?
- Using wrong operators: what happens if we decrease/increase start\_time and end\_time by 5 seconds?
- Missing constraints: what happens if we swap the order of two tuples?
- Measuring the wrong fitness: what happens if we use the time elapsed until death? Or the final score?

### **Exploitation vs. Exploration**

- Exploitation: if a candidate solution looks promising, optimisation should focus on that particular direction. However,
- Exploration: unexplored solution space may contain something \*much better\*.
- How to balance these two is critical to all learning/optimisation algorithms.

### Machines are Dumb and Lazy

- Like human, they will do the minimum work that passes your criteria, i.e. design of the optimisation problem.
- Not because of their work ethic, but because of the fact that, usually, minimum work is the easiest to find solution.

## **Case Study: GenProg**

- $\bullet$ until it passes all tests.
- We can only tell it to try until it passes all tests, not until the program is correct.



Anecdotes borrowed from Wes Weimer's SSBSE 2013 Keynote: https://www.cs.virginia.edu/~weimer/p/weimer-ssbse2013.pdf

### GenProg uses stochastic optimisation to modify existing faulty software code,

## Things GenProg Did...

- functionality.
- an empty set.
- correct output.txt and printed nothing.

nullhttpd: test case for POST function failed; GenProg removed the entire

sort: test required output to be sorted; GenProg's fix was to always output

• Tests compared output.txt to correct output.txt; GenProg deleted

### **Targets of our optimization** the concrete vs. the abstract

- Some objectives are concrete and tangible
  - E.g., value of input x that will take me to a specific branch in my code
- Some objectives are abstract and subjective
  - E.g., design of my system that is highly cohesive and low in coupling

### What we mean and what we "really" mean

- starting to be criticised. Compare the following two papers:

  - pages 47–61. Springer International Publishing, 2015.

Metric-based optimisation (where fitness equals an existing SE metric) is

 M. Harman and J. Clark. Metrics are fitness functions too. In 10th International Software Metrics Symposium (METRICS 2004), pages 58–69, Los Alamitos, California, USA, Sept. 2004. IEEE Computer Society Press.

• C. Simons, J. Singer, and D. R. White. Search-based refactoring: Metrics are not enough. In M. Barros and Y. Labiche, editors, Search-Based Software Engineering, volume 9275 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science,

### Semantic vs. Syntactic

- We are living in an interesting time, because there is a new technology in town that claims to "understand" human semantic better.
  - Well, not really "understand" but interesting emergent behaviour nonetheless.
  - Always remember Chinese Room Experiment by John Searle

### **Expected Learning Outcome**

- Understand basic metaheuristic algorithms; learn how to implement and adapt one to a given problem.
- Embrace metaheuristic optimisation as a valid tool for software engineers.
  Gain knowledge of the literature; learn case studies for various software
- Gain knowledge of the literature; le development lifecycle stages.

### **A Sneak Peek Ahead**

- What do people do with this metaheuristic in software engineering?
  - good-enough solution :)

# Given the definition of the task, basically anything for which you need a

### **Problem Domains**



### **1976-2010 Percentage of Paper Number**

### Structural Testing

- Take CS453 Automated Software Testing:)
- Intuitively: define the input constraints required to achieve structural coverage; solve the constraints using optimisation.
  - Symbolic execution + constraints solver
  - Dynamic analysis + metaheuristic optimisation
- Either way, huge advances in the last decade.
  - Clearly defined fitness function, industry demand (at least on achieving coverage)

### Oracle Problem

- Coverage is not enough: "was the last execution correct?"
- Test oracle tells you whether the observed execution was correct or not
- Formal specification can serve as one; manual inspection by human can serve as one. But how do we automatically generate oracles?
- We want to test the code; we automatically generate test from the code; we want to check whether the test passed; we automatically generate test oracle from the co... wait a minute!
- This is a very hard problem; one which the state of the art does not know how to solve.

### **Testing non-functional properties**

- has been very successful.
  - 298, 1998.

 Worst-Case Execution Time Analysis: strictly necessary for certain embedded systems (e.g. airbag controller), very hard to do statically; genetic algorithm

• J. Wegener and M. Grochtmann. Verifying timing constraints of real-time systems by means of evolutionary testing. Real-Time Systems, 15(3):275 –

### **Requirements Engineering**

- Next Release Problem: given cost and benefit (expected revenue) for each features, what is the best subset of features to be released for budget B?
  - 0-1 Knapsack (NP-complete)
  - But release decisions are more political than NP-complete.
- Sensitivity Analysis: requirements data are usually estimates; which estimation will have the largest impact on the project, if it is off by X%?

### **Project Management & Planning**

- Quantitatively simulate and measure the communication overhead (linear? logarithmic?)
- Robust planning: search for the tradeoff between overrun risk, project duration, and amount of overtime assignment

### Design/architecture/refactoring

- Cluster software models to achieve certain structural properties (cohesion/ coupling).
- Ironically, SBSE has also been used to analyse refactoring metrics: metric A and B both claim that they measure the same concept - optimising for A resulted in worse value of B, and vice versa :)

### Genetic Improvement

- Given a source code, can we automatically improve its non-functional properties (such as speed)?
- Genetic Programming has been successfully applied to make genome-sequencing software 70 times faster. 70!
  - W. Langdon and M. Harman. Optimizing existing software with genetic programming. Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, 19(1):118–135, 2015.
- Evolve a specialised version of MiniSAT solver for problem classes.
  - J. Petke, M. Harman, W. B. Langdon, and W. Weimer. Using genetic improvement and code transplants to specialise a C++ program to a problem class. In proceedings of the 17th European Conference on Genetic Programming, volume 8599 of LNCS, pages 137– 149. Springer, 2014.

### **Code Transplantation**

- Software X has feature A, which you want to have in software Y. Can we automatically extract and transplant feature A from X to Y?
  - E. T. Barr, M. Harman, Y. Jia, A. Marginean, and J. Petke. Automated software transplantation. In Proceedings of the 2015 International Symposium on Software Testing and Analysis, ISSTA 2015, pages 257–269.

### Summary

- Key ingredients to metaheuristics: representation, operators, fitness function. Design dictates solutions - machines are dumb.
- Applications across all software development lifecycle activities, and beyond.

### **SBSE Repository**

http://crestweb.cs.ucl.ac.uk/resources/sbse\_repository/  $\bullet$